

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/564,423	01/11/2006	Robert Fifield	853663.434USPC	8968	
38106 SEED INTEL	7590 09/17/201 LECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAM	EXAMINER		
701 FIFTH A	VENUE, SUITE 5400	AHMED, ENAM			
SEATTLE, W	A 98104-7092		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2112		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/17/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/564,423		FIFIELD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ENAM AHMED	2112	

	ENAM AHMED	2112					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 08 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing	date of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been flied is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office law may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the properties of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
<ol> <li>\( \)\( \)\) The proposed amendment(s) flied after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filling a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>\( \)\( \)\) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>\( \)\( \)\) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	M. Con affected blotter of blow Co.		DTOL 204)				
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):     Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the				
7. \( \subseteq  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \( \text{ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/Scott T Baderman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2114	/Enam Ahmed/ Examiner, Art Unit 2112						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to the amendment after-final filed on 9/8/10, the scope of the claims have been changed, for example claim 1 has been amended to inlude "initiating retransmitting of the data packet onto at least two paths of the network by the at least one repeater node" as opposed to retransmitting on a single path, thus, this amendment would require further search and consideration.